

Appendix A: Summary of representations received, officer responses and proposed changes

Public name	Officer summary	Officer response	Proposed change
Resident 1	I oppose the extension of the Queen's Park conservation area. I do not agree to the implementation of the Article 4. The changes proposed are both costly and unnecessary to homeowners. Particularly given the alterations that have already been implemented.	<p>The Queen's Park Conservation Area Extension was designated on 28th May 2024. The extension included the best-preserved areas in terms of character and appearance not yet designated, but which were considered to warrant conservation area status.</p> <p>The Article 4 seeks to preserve many of those special features that might otherwise be lost through permitted development rights. The Article 4 direction is not retrospective. Unsympathetic changes that have already been implemented through permitted development rights may, if subject to planning applications for new development, be encouraged to be altered to something more sympathetic to the area's conservation area characteristics, but ultimately this cannot be required.</p>	No Change.